Original Article

pISSN 2466-1384 · eISSN 2466-1392
Korean J Vet Res 2021;61(1):e8
<https://doi.org/10.14405/kjvr.2021.61.e8>

*Corresponding author:

Kyoung Won Seo
Department of Veterinary Internal Medicine,
College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungnam
National University, 99 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-
gu, Daejeon 34134, Korea
Tel: +82-42-821-6756
Fax: +82-42-821-8903
E-mail: kwseo@cnu.ac.kr

Current affiliation: Department of Veterinary
Internal Medicine, College of Veterinary
Medicine, Seoul National University, 1
Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Korea
Tel: +82-2-880-1180
E-mail: kwseo@snu.ac.kr

ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1561-3278>

Conflict of interest:
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: January 15, 2021
Revised: February 09, 2021
Accepted: February 25, 2021

- © 2021 The Korean Society of Veterinary Science.
This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A retrospective study of 16 cats with intermediate- to high-grade alimentary lymphoma

Dong-Hyuk Kwak¹, Mun-Ju Cho², Hyung-Jin Park³, Kun-Ho Song¹,
Kyoung Won Seo^{1,*}

¹Department of Veterinary Internal Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea

²Beaksan Feline Medical Center, Seoul 06223, Korea

³Sungsim Animal Medical Center, Daejeon 34187, Korea

The purpose of this retrospective study was to describe cases of feline intermediate- to high-grade alimentary lymphoma regarding signalment, clinical presentation, laboratory findings, response to therapy (modified 25-week University of Wisconsin-Madison [UW-25] vs. COP [cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone]), toxicosis, and outcomes and to identify prognostic factors. Sixteen cats were treated with chemotherapy protocols. Response rates and survival did not differ statistically between the two protocols. The progression-free interval (PFI) and median survival time (MST) in cats achieving a response to therapy were longer than in those with no response [NR] (complete remission [CR] vs. partial remission [PR] vs. NR; PFI, 124 vs. 49 vs. 12 days, $p < 0.001$; MST, 361 vs. 118 vs. 16 days, $p < 0.001$). Clinical stage was another prognostic factor for PFI and MST. The PFI and MST in cats in stage I were longer than in those in other stages (PFI, 107 days vs. 30 days; MST, 193 days vs. 54 days). Hematologic and gastrointestinal toxicosis was mostly low grade. In comparing the modified UW-25 protocol with the COP protocol, there was not much difference in the number of neutropenic episodes and grade levels.

Keywords: lymphoma; gastrointestinal neoplasms; cats; antineoplastic protocols

Introduction

Alimentary lymphoma (AL) is the most common intestinal neoplasm in cats [1-9]. AL comprises a group of diseases present in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, with mesenteric lymph node and hepatosplenic involvement [1,3]. Although the tumor can be solitary, diffuse forms throughout the intestine are more common [3]. There is no consistent sex bias [3,4,10,11]. Based on the results of histopathology and immunohistopathology, most feline AL can be classified as one of the following three types: 1) low-grade alimentary lymphoma (LGAL), 2) intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma (I/HAL), and 3) large granular lymphoma. These different subtypes of lymphoma share clinical signs related to GI disorders, commonly as hyporexia, weight loss, vomiting, and diarrhea [1,12-14]. No difference has been reported in clinical symptoms for each subtype; however, I/HAL tends to progress more acutely [1,4,12,15-17].

Treatment protocols for feline AL are variable, but the most common regimens are COP-based (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisolone, or prednisone) or CHOP-based (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vinca alkaloid, prednisolone, or

prednisone). However, gold standard chemotherapy protocol does not currently exist [4,6,15,18-26].

The median survival time (MST) differs between the subtypes of lymphoma. The MST of LGAL cats is approximately 1.5 years, whereas that of I/HAL cats treated with multiagent chemotherapy is approximately 4 to 6 months with poor prognosis [4,7,10,15,22-24,27]. In addition, response rates and the durability of response for cats with I/HAL treated with combination protocols are generally not as good as in cats with LGAL. LGAL patients have more than 80% response rates, whereas HGAL patients have a 50% to 65% response rate [10,11,16-18,20-21,23-26,28-30]. In particular, several recent retrospective studies have reported on the response of COP and modified 25-week University of Wisconsin-Madison (UW-25) protocols in cats with I/HAL and demonstrated a good response (response to modified UW-25 and COP protocols: 62% and 92.4%; MST of modified UW-25 and COP, 97 and 112 days, respectively) [11,31].

The following prognostic factors have been reported to be associated with AL: feline leukemia virus (FeLV) antigenemia, grade, treatment response, hypoalbuminemia, and body weight. However, the most consistent prognostic factor is the complete remission (CR) to treatment [10,11,16,17,22,29,30,32].

There is a paucity of studies examining I/HAL. Because the modified UW-25 protocol was developed only recently, to our knowledge, there are no studies that evaluated toxicosis in a modified UW-25 protocol and no research that compared the treatment response between the modified UW-25 and COP protocols in cats with I/HAL. The purpose of this retrospective study was to describe cases of feline I/HAL regarding signalment, clinical presentation, laboratory findings, response to therapy (modified UW-25 vs. COP), toxicosis, and outcomes and to identify prognostic factors.

Materials and Methods

Case selection

Sixteen cats met the inclusion criteria. We reviewed the medical records of cats examined for I/HAL at four institutions, namely, Chungnam National University, Beaksan Feline Medical Center, Korea Animal Medical Center, and Sungsim Animal Medical Center, from 2017 to 2020. Cats with a histologically and cytologically confirmed diagnosis of I/HAL were the subject of this study. Cats with all gross diseases surgically removed were allowed to be included.

Data collection

Patient variables

Collected data were as follows: age, sex, clinical signs at the time of diagnosis, physical examination findings, hematologic data, FeLV, and feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) testing, thoracic and abdominal radiography, abdominal ultrasound, date of diagnosis, method of diagnosis, clinical stage, date of treatment, response to treatment, date of progression, date of death, cause of death, and adverse events experienced during treatment.

Treatment and outcome

Cats were treated with chemotherapy protocols, including the modified UW-25 or COP protocol. The COP protocol was based on standard doses and intervals [33] and did not exclude patients with a slight modification from chemotherapy (e.g., dose intensity). The modified UW-25 protocol included combination chemotherapy with vincristine, l-asparaginase, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and prednisolone and was based on the report published by Collette et al. [11]. In addition, a slight deviation from the protocol (e.g., the omission of l-asparaginase) did not result in exclusion from this group.

Complete blood count (CBC) was conducted before each treatment. Biochemistry profiles were conducted when the cats showed symptoms associated with toxicosis or other clinical signs.

Exclusion of cats from the response and survival assessment as follows: received no treatment, treated with a chemotherapy protocol other than the modified UW-25 or COP protocol as the first line, their medical records were incomplete.

Response assessment

Cats were objectively evaluated for response to treatment using periodic ultrasonography. Cats were classified based on treatment response as follows: CR, 100% reduction in the size of all measurable disease; partial remission (PR), > 50% but < 100% reduction in the size of all measurable disease; and no response (NR), < 50% reduction in size or an increase in the size of overall measurable disease.

Toxicity assessment

Results of CBC toxicity as well as GI toxicosis were recorded during treatment. Neutropenic episodes were graded according to Veterinary Cooperative Oncology Group-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (VCOG-CTCAE) v1.1 [34]. Because of the nature of this retrospective study, the grading of

GI toxicity according to VCOG-CTCAE v1.1 was not available. Adverse events due to GI toxicity were rated using the following criteria: grade 0, none; grade 1, inappetence, vomiting, and diarrhea that was transient or responsive to dietary management; grade 2, inappetence, vomiting, and diarrhea necessitating medical treatment; grade 3, inappetence, vomiting, and diarrhea necessitating medical treatment and postponement of chemotherapy; and grade 4, inappetence, vomiting, and diarrhea necessitating medical treatment, hospitalization, and postponement of chemotherapy. The attending clinician classified these events as reported in a previous study [21,35].

Statistical analysis

Study endpoints were tumor progression and patient death. The progression-free interval (PFI) was defined as the time between treatment and disease progression. Survival time was defined as the time between treatment and death related to lymphoma. PFI and MST were determined using the Kaplan–Meier product limit analysis. Cats alive at the time of their last follow-up examination or that died for reasons other than lymphoma were censored.

We used univariate Cox forward regression analysis (followed by multivariate Cox forward regression analysis if multiple influential factors were identified) to evaluate the following variables for their independent influence on PFI and MST: age, sex, body weight, clinical stage, FeLV/FIV status, presence of dyspnea, weight loss, lethargy, vomiting at diagnosis, presence of anemia at diagnosis, and CBC and chemistry profiles at diagnosis. We used univariate logistic regression analysis and multivariate analysis when appropriate to analyze the influence of the above factors on whether each cat reached CR. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0 (IBM Corp., USA).

Results

Patient population

Sixteen cats met the requirements for entry into this retrospective study. All cats had either a cytologic or histopathologic diagnosis of I/HAL and were treated with chemotherapy (10 with the modified UW-25 protocol and 6 with the COP protocol). Breeds included Russian Blue (6), Persian (4), Domestic Short Hair (2), Devon Rex (1), American Short Hair (1), Turkish Angora (1), and mixed (1). The median age was 9.5 years (range, 4 to 16 years), and the median body weight was 3.9 kg (range, 2.5 to 6.9 kg). Eleven of the 16 cats were castrated

males, and the other five were spayed females. Thirteen of the 16 cats presented with clinical signs of hyporexia, 11 with weight loss, 11 with lethargy, 10 with vomiting, and three with diarrhea. Six cats had FeLV and FIV testing reported. One cat was positive for FeLV. No patient was positive for FIV.

On the day of diagnosis, six of the 16 cats had anemia (hematocrit < 30%). The median hematocrit in all cats was 35% (range, 14.3% to 44.9%). Eight cats were neutrophilic (range, 11.1 to 26.9 K/ μ L), and five were thrombocytopenic (42 to 126 K/ μ L).

In the chemistry profiles, azotemia was detected in four cats, elevated liver gamma-glutamyl transferase values were reported in one cat, and hypoalbuminemia was reported in one cat (Table 1).

Diagnosis and clinical staging

Nine cats were diagnosed with I/HAL by biopsy of a lymph node or GI mass, and the other seven cats underwent diagnosis by fine-needle aspiration.

Seven cats had other organ involvement besides the alimentary tract. However, additional tests for staging were not completely performed in some cases. Nine cats had tumors localized to the GI tract. Therefore, all cats were classified into one of the following two categories: stage I and other.

Treatment response

Sixteen cats were available for the evaluation of treatment response and survival analysis. Three cats were censored during the survival analysis. Two patients were still alive and in remission. These cats were in CR at the time of analysis. One cat died of heart failure unrelated to lymphoma while in NR. Two cats were excluded because of denial of treatment and one for incomplete medical records. Rescue therapy was used in five of 16 cats. Three of these cats were treated with lomustine (CCNU), one with L-asparaginase, and one with doxorubicin.

Ten cats received a modified UW-25 protocol, and six cats received a COP protocol. The distribution of the response all 16 patients was as follows: CR, five (31.3%); PR, six (37.5%); and NR, five (31.3%). The overall response rate (CR + PR) was 68.8%. The median PFI (MPFI) was 49 days (range, 9 to 824 days), and the MST was 100 days (range, 9 to 824 days) (Fig. 1).

Of the cats treated with a modified UW-25 protocol, two (20.0%) achieved a CR, four (40.0%) achieved a PR, and four (40.0%) did not respond to treatment. The overall response rate (CR + PR) for a modified UW-25 protocol was 60.0%. Among cats receiving a modified UW-25 protocol, the MPFI and MST were 35 days (range, 9 to 824 days) and 65 days (range, 9 to 824 days), respectively. However, of the cats treated with a COP

Table 1. Results of univariate analysis of factors predicting treatment response and survival in felines with I/HAL among all 16 cats

Variable	Distribution (n = 16)	<i>p</i> (PFI)	<i>p</i> (MST)	<i>p</i> (CR)	<i>p</i> (response)
Age (y)	9.5 (4-16)	0.273	0.198	0.55	0.110
Sex		0.303	0.331	0.613	0.519
FS	5 (31.3)				
MC	11 (68.8)				
Body weight (kg)	3.9 (2.5-6.9)	0.863	0.853	0.45	0.764
Clinical signs					
Hyporexia	13 (81.3)	0.162	0.185	0.361	0.999
Weight loss	11 (68.8)	0.217	0.313	0.519	0.613
Lethargy	11 (68.8)	0.465	0.33	0.519	0.999
Vomiting	10 (62.5)	0.492	0.702	0.889	0.889
Diarrhea	3 (18.8)	0.242	0.518	0.931	0.931
FelV/FIV status					
FelV positive (n = 6)	1 (16.7)	0.469	0.967	1	1
FIV positive (n = 6)	0 (0)				
Unknown	10 (62.5)				
Complete blood count					
Hematocrit (%)	35 (14.3-44.9)	0.339	0.137	0.639	0.623
Anemia	6 (37.5)	0.698	0.353	0.889	0.889
Neutrophilia	8 (50.0)	0.302	0.287	0.129	0.129
Thrombocytopenia	5 (31.3)	0.108	0.11	0.112	0.999
Biochemistry profile					
Azotemia	4 (25.0)	0.514	0.306	0.756	0.756
Elevated liver values	1 (6.3)	0.306	0.326	1	1
Hypoalbuminemia	1 (6.3)	0.351	0.348	1	1
Stage		0.005	0.007	0.999	0.07
I	7 (43.8)				
Other	9 (56.3)				
Response to therapy					
CR vs. PR vs. NR		< 0.001	< 0.001	NA	NA
Response vs. NR		< 0.001	< 0.001	NA	NA
Chemotherapy					
Modified UW-25 vs. COP		0.156	0.267	0.223	0.344
L-asparaginase		0.367	0.221	0.344	0.344

Values are presented as median (range) or number (%).

I/HAL, intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma; PFI, progression-free interval; MST, median survival time; CR, complete remission; FS, female spayed; MC, male castrated; FelV, feline leukemia virus; FIV, feline immunodeficiency virus; PR, partial remission; NR, no response; NA, not applicable; modified UW-25, modified 25-week University of Wisconsin-Madison; COP, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone.

protocol, the response rate was as follows: CR, three (50.0%); PR, two (33.3%); and NR, one (16.7%). The overall response rate (CR + PR) for the COP protocol was 83%. In the cats receiving a COP protocol, the MPFI and MST were 116 days (range, 30 to 602 days) and 172 days (range, 54 to 602 days), respectively (Table 2). There was no statistically significant difference in response rate, PFI, or survival time between the two protocols (Fig. 2).

Both the PFI and MST were considerably longer in cats responding to treatment (CR or PR) than in those not respond-

ing to treatment ($p < 0.001$). The MPFI for cats with CR was 124 days (range, 99 to 824 days), in comparison with 49 days (range, 30 to 130 days) for PR and only 12 days (range, 9 to 30 days) for NR. Cats achieving a CR had an MST of 361 days (range, 107 to 824 days), a PR of 118 days (range, 40 to 386 days), and an NR of 16 days (range, 9 to 54 days) (Fig. 3).

Factor analysis indicated that staging was the only factor that affected PFI and MST. The stage I group had a longer PFI and MST than the other stage groups (stage I vs. others; PFI, 107 days vs. 30 days; MST, 193 days vs. 54 days). The other factors

Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curve of 16 cats with intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma. (A) Progression-free interval and (B) survival time.

Table 2. Response rate, remission, and survival duration in cats I/HAL treated with the modified UW-25 or COP protocol

	Modified UW-25 (n = 10)	COP (n = 6)	Total (n = 16)
Response (n, %)			
CR	2 (20.0)	3 (50.0)	5 (31.3)
PR	4 (40.0)	2 (33.3)	6 (37.5)
NR	4 (40.0)	1 (16.7)	5 (31.3)
Median progression-free interval (d)			
Overall (CR + PR + NR)	35 (9-824)	116 (30-602)	49 (9-824)
CR	462 (99-824)	124 (107-602)	124 (99-824)
PR	40 (30-58)	107 (83-130)	49 (30-130)
NR	11 (9-16)	30	12 (9-30)
Median survival time (d)			
Overall (CR + PR + NR)	65 (9-824)	172 (54-602)	100 (9-824)
CR	593 (361-824)	193 (107-602)	361 (107-824)
PR	91 (40-143)	268 (150-386)	118 (40-386)
NR	14 (9-34)	54	16 (9-54)

I/HAL, intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma; modified UW-25, modified 25-week University of Wisconsin-Madison; COP, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone; CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; NR, no response.

were not statistically significant for PFI or MST. No other variables examined were predictive of achieving CR or treatment response (Table 1).

Toxicosis

There were 14 neutropenic events. Nine episodes were documented in 10 patients receiving the modified UW-25 protocol and five episodes in six patients receiving the COP protocol. The median number of episodes per cat was 0.5 (range, 0 to 5). Eight cats (five in the modified UW-25 group and three in the COP group) had no neutropenic episodes. Neutropenia was grade 1 in 71.4% (n = 10), grade 2 in 7.1% (n = 1), grade 3 in

14.3% (n = 2), and grade 4 in 7.1% (n = 1). In groups receiving the modified UW-25 protocol, the number of neutropenic episodes was six in grade 1, one in grade 2, and two in grade 3. In groups receiving the COP protocol, there were four neutropenic episodes in grade 1 and one in grade 4. The distribution of the neutropenic events in cats treated with the modified UW-25 protocol was none after treatment with vincristine and L-asparaginase, seven with vincristine, one with cyclophosphamide, and one with doxorubicin. The distribution of the neutropenic events in cats treating with the COP protocol were three events after treatment with vincristine and cyclophosphamide and two events with vincristine (Table 3).

Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier curve of 16 cats with intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma. (A) Progression-free interval (PFI) and (B) survival time. The blue line indicates cats receiving a cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone (COP) protocol; the red line indicates cats receiving a modified 25-week University of Wisconsin-Madison (UW-25) protocol. There was no significant difference in PFI or survival time between the two protocols (PFI: $p = 0.142$; median survival time: $p = 0.260$).

Fig. 3. Kaplan-Meier curve of 16 cats with intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma treated with chemotherapy. (A) Progression-free interval (PFI) and (B) survival time. The blue line indicates cats achieving complete remission (CR), the red line indicates cats achieving partial remission (PR), and the green line indicates cats that had no response to treatment. A significant difference was detected in PFI and survival time between response groups (PFI: $p < 0.001$; median survival time: $p < 0.001$). NR, no response.

There were 25 adverse events of GI toxicity documented among all 16 cats (15 in patients receiving the modified UW-25 protocol and 10 in the COP protocol). The median number of events per cat was 1 (range, 0 to 8). Five cats (three in the modified UW-25 group and two in the COP group) had no episodes of GI toxicity. The number of adverse events of GI toxicity was grade 1 in 52.0% ($n = 13$), grade 2 in 36.0% ($n = 9$), grade 3 in 8.0% ($n = 2$), and grade 4 in 4.0% ($n = 1$). In 10 cats treated

with the modified UW-25 protocol, four episodes occurred after induction treatment with vincristine and l- asparaginase, seven with vincristine, three with cyclophosphamide, and one with doxorubicin. In the groups treated with the COP protocol, seven episodes occurred after therapy with cyclophosphamide and vincristine and three after vincristine (Table 3).

Regarding weight change during the treatment and follow-up period, eight cats (50.0%) maintained their weight, four cats

Table 3. Toxicosis in 16 cats with I/HAL receiving chemotherapy

Toxicosis	Total number of events	Median number per cat (range)	Grade (n, %)	Number of events after treatment					
				Modified UW-25				COP	
				Asp/Vinc	Vinc	Ctx	Doxo	Ctx/Vinc	Vinc
Neutropenia	14	0.5 (0–5)	1 (10, 71.4)	0	7	1	1	3	2
			2 (1, 7.1)						
			3 (2, 14.3)						
			4 (1, 7.1)						
GI toxicosis	25	1 (0–8)	1 (13, 52.0)	4	7	3	1	7	3
			2 (9, 36.0)						
			3 (2, 8.0)						
			4 (1, 4.0)						

I/HAL, intermediate- or high-grade alimentary lymphoma; modified UW-25, modified 25-week University of Wisconsin–Madison; COP, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone; Asp, asparaginase; Vinc, vincristine; Ctx, cyclophosphamide; Doxo, doxorubicin; GI, gastrointestinal.

(25.0%) experienced a weight reduction of > 10% of their baseline weight, and four cats (25.0%) gained weight of > 10%. Weight change did not influence the remission and survival times in the statistical analysis.

Discussion

The clinical features of these 16 cats with I/HAL were comparable with those described in other reports. The median age of cats with I/HAL was 9.5 years, which is consistent with the recently noted shift in age ranges [17,36]. Hyporexia (81.3%), weight loss (68.8%), lethargy (68.8%), and vomiting (62.5%) were the most common clinical signs reported in the present study. This is similar to previous reports of AL, except for diarrhea (19% vs. 70% to 90%) [1,12–14]. Siamese have a higher risk of developing lymphoma. However, they represent a low percentage of AL. No Siamese cats are included in this study. In recent studies, Siamese cats also represented a low percentage in AL [1,2,7,37]. This may reflect the risk in Siamese cat developing AL is low [14,16,17,36]. Another possibility is that, because of the small sample size in this study, Siamese may not be included in the breed. Although most cases in other papers appear to be Domestic Short Hair, the Russian Blue breed accounted for the largest percentage of cats in this paper [1,2,7,37].

In addition, the cats with I/HAL in this report were mostly males (11/16), and if the same proportion of females and males visited the hospital, the results might suggest that males appeared to be overrepresented. However, data on the proportion of male and female cats that presented to each institution were not available, and because of the small sample size of this study, this result should be interpreted with caution.

Neutrophilia (50.0%), anemia (37.5%), and thrombocytopenia (31.3%) were common findings in the present study. These

results were also seen in other reports [1,4,14,15,38].

The overall combined response rate to the modified UW-25 and COP chemotherapy protocols was 69%, and 31% of patients achieved a CR, findings that are similar to the results of other studies [10,11,16,17,22,30]. The CR rate achieved with the modified UW-25 protocol was 20%, which is comparable with that of another study (25%) [11]. The observed CR rate in cats receiving the COP protocol (50%) was higher than what has previously been reported (32%) [4]. The overall PFI and MST in treated cats were 49 and 99 days, respectively. The PFI and MST with the modified UW-25 protocol were 35 and 65 days, respectively, which was shorter than that reported by another study (50 and 85 days, respectively) [11]. The observed PFI and MST in cats receiving the COP protocol (116 and 172 days) were longer than in a previous study (MST, 50 days; PFI was not mentioned) [4]. These data show that the prognosis of cats with intermediate- to high-grade lymphoma is poor. There was no statistically significant difference in CR rate, PFI, or MST between the two protocols. This result raises doubts about the utility of doxorubicin. However, the small number of cases might have reduced the probability of detecting a difference between the two protocols. There was little information associated with both protocols in feline I/HAL. A future investigation that includes a larger group of cats is required to confirm these findings.

We examined only a few prognostic factors in this study. Treatment response is one of the most consistent factors in feline lymphoma studies [3,11,17]. Cats that responded to therapy experienced significantly longer PFI and MST. Therefore, the evaluation of the treatment response is important for predicting prognosis. Clinical stage was also determined to be a prognostic factor for PFI and MST. Cats in stage I had longer PFI and MST than those in other stages (PFI, 107 vs. 30 days;

MST, 193 vs. 54 days). Other studies have shown that stage I disease is associated with a more favorable prognosis [6,16,23]. This result lends weight to the importance of the assessment of clinical stage. No other prognostic factors affected PFI or MST. One limitation of the present study is that the low number of cats might have resulted in a lack of power to detect the significance of other prognostic factors.

In this study, hematologic and GI toxicosis were mostly low grade and comparable to that reported in previous studies [21,29]. The median number of neutropenic as well as GI adverse events in each cat was similar to previous reports [21] and as lower than in another report [29]. Different chemotherapy protocols can be a possible consequence. In comparing the modified UW-25 protocol with the COP protocol, there was not much difference in the number of neutropenic episodes and grade levels. Therefore, these two therapeutic regimens were considered to be protocols with acceptable tolerability. In this paper, weight loss before beginning chemotherapy or weight change during treatment or baseline body weight did not affect the outcome. However, one study has shown that a history of pretreatment weight loss has a negative effect on achieving a CR [25]. In addition, studies have reported that baseline body weight or weight change during treatment has an effect on prognosis [28,39]. These different results might be due to the small number of subjects in the present study. The other possibility is that although this paper dealt with only I/ HAL, previous papers included lymphoma with various anatomic forms or different grades. A large study is expected to determine the effect of weight on the outcome with I/ HAL.

The alimentary form is most common in feline lymphoma, but there are very few papers evaluating the alimentary form alone, especially in I/ HAL with multiagent chemotherapy. This is the first study to evaluate the toxicosis of the modified UW-25 protocol. However, there are several limitations of the present study. Limitations of this study include its multi-institutional and retrospective nature. Staging was often limited by the cost and refusal of further examination by the client. Another major limitation is the lack of standardized timing of evaluating the treatment response. A lack of consistency in monitoring is an intrinsic feature of all retrospective studies. Another limitation is that various protocols were applied in the rescue treatment. Five cats (31.3%) received rescue therapies. This unstandardized rescue protocol would likely influence each patient's survival time differently.

In conclusion, sixteen cats with I/ HAL diagnosed by cytologic or histopathologic met the requirements for entry into this retrospective study. I/ HAL was found in various breeds, mostly

in Russian Blue. Feline I/ HAL was distributed in age with 4 to 16 years and had prevalence in old age. I/ HAL treated with multiagent chemotherapy had a poor prognosis (MPFI, 49 days; MST, 100 days). There was no statistically significant difference in treatment response and survival between the modified UW-25 and the COP protocol. Hematologic and GI toxicosis during treatment with these two protocols were mostly low grade. There was no significant difference between two protocols. In this study, clinical stage and treatment response were prognostic factors in cats with I/ HAL.

Acknowledgements

We are thanks to the “Cooperative Research Program of Center for Companion Animal Research” (Project no. PJ01404502): Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea. Thanks for sharing the medical charts to “Korea Animal Medical Center”, Cheongju, Korea.

ORCID

Dong-Hyuk Kwak, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1172-1044>

Mun-Ju Cho, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2350-0588>

Hyung-Jin Park, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4383-1037>

Kun-Ho Song, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8478-2035>

Kyoung Won Seo, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1561-3278>

References

1. Barrs VR, Beatty JA. Feline alimentary lymphoma: 1. Classification, risk factors, clinical signs and non-invasive diagnostics. *J Feline Med Surg* 2012;14:182-190.
2. Risetto K, Villamil JA, Selting KA, Tyler J, Henry CJ. Recent trends in feline intestinal neoplasia: an epidemiologic study of 1,129 cases in the veterinary medical database from 1964 to 2004. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 2011;47:28-36.
3. Vail DM, Thamm DH, Liptak JM. Withrow and MacEwen's Small Animal Clinical Oncology. 6th ed. Elsevier, St. Louis, 2020.
4. Mahony OM, Moore AS, Cotter SM, Engler SJ, Brown D, Penninck DG. Alimentary lymphoma in cats: 28 cases (1988-1993). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1995;207:1593-1598.
5. Gabor LJ, Malik R, Canfield PJ. Clinical and anatomical features of lymphosarcoma in 118 cats. *Aust Vet J* 1998;76:725-732.
6. Vail DM, Moore AS, Ogilvie GK, Volk LM. Feline lymphoma (145 cases): proliferation indices, cluster of differentiation 3

- immunoreactivity, and their association with prognosis in 90 cats. *J Vet Intern Med* 1998;12:349-354.
7. Louwerens M, London CA, Pedersen NC, Lyons LA. Feline lymphoma in the post-feline leukemia virus era. *J Vet Intern Med* 2005;19:329-335.
 8. Stützer B, Simon K, Lutz H, Majzoub M, Hermanns W, Hirschberger J, Sauter-Louis C, Hartmann K. Incidence of persistent viraemia and latent feline leukaemia virus infection in cats with lymphoma. *J Feline Med Surg* 2011;13:81-87.
 9. Vezzali E, Parodi AL, Marcato PS, Bettini G. Histopathologic classification of 171 cases of canine and feline non-Hodgkin lymphoma according to the WHO. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2010;8:38-49.
 10. Rau SE, Burgess KE. A retrospective evaluation of lomustine (CeeNU) in 32 treatment naïve cats with intermediate to large cell gastrointestinal lymphoma (2006-2013). *Vet Comp Oncol* 2017;15:1019-1028.
 11. Collette SA, Allstadt SD, Chon EM, Vernau W, Smith AN, Garrett LD, Choy K, Rebhun RB, Rodriguez CO Jr, Skorupski KA. Treatment of feline intermediate- to high-grade lymphoma with a modified university of Wisconsin-Madison protocol: 119 cases (2004-2012). *Vet Comp Oncol* 2016;14(Suppl 1):136-146.
 12. Gieger T. Alimentary lymphoma in cats and dogs. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 2011;41:419-432.
 13. Kiselow MA, Rassnick KM, McDonough SP, Goldstein RE, Simpson KW, Weinkle TK, Erb HN. Outcome of cats with low-grade lymphocytic lymphoma: 41 cases (1995-2005). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2008;232:405-410.
 14. Lingard AE, Briscoe K, Beatty JA, Moore AS, Crowley AM, Krockenberger M, Churcher RK, Canfield PJ, Barrs VR. Low-grade alimentary lymphoma: clinicopathological findings and response to treatment in 17 cases. *J Feline Med Surg* 2009;11:692-700.
 15. Fondacaro JV, Richter KP, Carpenter JL, Hart JR, Hill SL, Feltman MJ. Feline gastrointestinal lymphoma: 67 cases (1988-1996). *Eur J Comp Gastroenterol* 1999;4:5-11.
 16. Gouldin ED, Mullin C, Morges M, Mehler SJ, de Lorimier LP, Oakley C, Risbon R, May L, Kahn SA, Clifford C. Feline discrete high-grade gastrointestinal lymphoma treated with surgical resection and adjuvant CHOP-based chemotherapy: retrospective study of 20 cases. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2017;15:328-335.
 17. Gustafson TL, Villamil A, Taylor BE, Flory A. A retrospective study of feline gastric lymphoma in 16 chemotherapy-treated cats. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 2014;50:46-52.
 18. Moore AS, Cotter SM, Frimberger AE, Wood CA, Rand WM, L'Heureux DA. A comparison of doxorubicin and COP for maintenance of remission in cats with lymphoma. *J Vet Intern Med* 1996;10:372-375.
 19. Malik R, Gabor LJ, Foster SF, McCorkell BE, Canfield PJ. Therapy for Australian cats with lymphosarcoma. *Aust Vet J* 2001;79:808-817.
 20. Teske E, van Straten G, van Noort R, Rutteman GR. Chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisolone (COP) in cats with malignant lymphoma: new results with an old protocol. *J Vet Intern Med* 2002;16:179-186.
 21. Simon D, Eberle N, Laacke-Singer L, Nolte I. Combination chemotherapy in feline lymphoma: treatment outcome, tolerability, and duration in 23 cats. *J Vet Intern Med* 2008;22:394-400.
 22. Waite AH, Jackson K, Gregor TP, Krick EL. Lymphoma in cats treated with a weekly cyclophosphamide-, vincristine-, and prednisone-based protocol: 114 cases (1998-2008). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2013;242:1104-1109.
 23. Mooney SC, Hayes AA, MacEwen EG, Matus RE, Geary A, Shurgot BA. Treatment and prognostic factors in lymphoma in cats: 103 cases (1977-1981). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1989;194:696-702.
 24. Milner RJ, Peyton J, Cooke K, Fox LE, Gallagher A, Gordon P, Hester J. Response rates and survival times for cats with lymphoma treated with the University of Wisconsin-Madison chemotherapy protocol: 38 cases (1996-2003). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2005;227:1118-1122.
 25. Hadden AG, Cotter SM, Rand W, Moore AS, Davis RM, Morrissey P. Efficacy and toxicosis of VELCAP-C treatment of lymphoma in cats. *J Vet Intern Med* 2008;22:153-157.
 26. Kristal O, Lana SE, Ogilvie GK, Rand WM, Cotter SM, Moore AS. Single agent chemotherapy with doxorubicin for feline lymphoma: a retrospective study of 19 cases (1994-1997). *J Vet Intern Med* 2001;15:125-130.
 27. Stein TJ, Pellin M, Steinberg H, Chun R. Treatment of feline gastrointestinal small-cell lymphoma with chlorambucil and glucocorticoids. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 2010;46:413-417.
 28. Krick EL, Cohen RB, Gregor TP, Salah PC, Sorenmo KU. Prospective clinical trial to compare vincristine and vinblastine in a COP-based protocol for lymphoma in cats. *J Vet Intern Med* 2013;27:134-140.
 29. Limmer S, Eberle N, Nerschbach V, Nolte I, Betz D. Treatment of feline lymphoma using a 12-week, maintenance-free combination chemotherapy protocol in 26 cats. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2016;14 Suppl 1:21-31.
 30. Barrs VR, Beatty JA. Feline alimentary lymphoma: 2. Further diagnostics, therapy and prognosis. *J Feline Med Surg* 2012;14:191-201.

31. Taylor SS, Goodfellow MR, Browne WJ, Walding B, Murphy S, Tzannes S, Gerou-Ferriani M, Schwartz A, Dobson JM. Feline extranodal lymphoma: response to chemotherapy and survival in 110 cats. *J Small Anim Pract* 2009;50:584-592.
32. Kiupel M, Smedley RC, Pfent C, Xie Y, Xue Y, Wise AG, DeVaul JM, Maes RK. Diagnostic algorithm to differentiate lymphoma from inflammation in feline small intestinal biopsy samples. *Vet Pathol* 2011;48:212-222.
33. Cotter SM. Treatment of lymphoma and leukemia with cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisone. I. Treatment of dogs. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 1983;19:159-165.
34. Veterinary Cooperative Oncology Group-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (VCOG-CTCAE) following chemotherapy or biological antineoplastic therapy in dogs and cats v1.1. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2016;14:417-446.
35. Simon D, Nolte I, Eberle N, Abbrederis N, Killich M, Hirschberger J. Treatment of dogs with lymphoma using a 12-week, maintenance-free combination chemotherapy protocol. *J Vet Intern Med* 2006;20:948-954.
36. Tidd KS, Durham AC, Brown DC, Velovolu S, Nagel J, Krick EL. Outcomes in 40 cats with discrete intermediate- or large-cell gastrointestinal lymphoma masses treated with surgical mass resection (2005-2015). *Vet Surg* 2019;48:1218-1228.
37. Sabattini S, Bottero E, Turba ME, Vicchi F, Bo S, Bettini G. Differentiating feline inflammatory bowel disease from alimentary lymphoma in duodenal endoscopic biopsies. *J Small Anim Pract* 2016;57:396-401.
38. Sapiernyński R, Jankowska U, Jagielski D, Kliczkowska-Klarowicz K. Large granular lymphoma in six cats. *Pol J Vet Sci* 2015;18:163-169.
39. Krick EL, Moore RH, Cohen RB, Sorenmo KU. Prognostic significance of weight changes during treatment of feline lymphoma. *J Feline Med Surg* 2011;13:976-983.