Current status and challenges in disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation systems for companion animals in South Korea

Beom Jun Lee, Kyung-Duk Min

College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 28644, Korea

Abstract

The surveillance and epidemiological investigation systems for companion animals in South Korea are significantly underdeveloped compared to those for humans and livestock. Recent outbreaks, such as idiopathic neuromuscular syndrome and highly pathogenic avian influenza among cats, have highlighted the need for reliable systems. This short review conducts situation analysis regarding disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation for companion animals in South Korea. The current challenges include an absence of administrative leadership, a lack of legal support, and unreliable medical data. The recommendations for future directions include clear leadership by the Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency, amending the Act on the Prevention of Contagious Animal Diseases to include companion animals, and enhancing the quality of medical data through standardized coding systems, such as Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms. In addition, sentinel surveillance rather than universal systems should be established to provide adequate incentives for local practitioners to provide data and develop sustainable public–private networks. These recommendations could be important for developing a comprehensive and sustainable system for disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation in the companion animal field.
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Introduction

In recent years, South Korea has experienced significant challenges in controlling the diseases of companion animals, implying social demands for reliable disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation systems. One notable event was the neuromuscular disease outbreak in companion cats. In April 2023, the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs reported multiple cat deaths. The authorities investigated because specific commercial cat foods were suspected of being potential causes. Nevertheless, the investigation focused on detecting deleterious substances in foods [1] rather than implementing an epidemiological investigation to compare the risks between exposed and unexposed cats. The lack of epidemiological investigations would impede finding the specifying possible causes and associations. Therefore, the event highlighted significant gaps in the current epidemiological investigation system for companion animals in South Korea. On the other hand, another noticeable event was reported regarding the outbreak
of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) A (H5N1) in 2 cat shelters in Seoul in July 2023, causing the deaths of 38 out of 40 cats in the shelters [2]. Although proper epidemiological investigations identified that contaminated cat food with raw duck meat could have been a possible infection source, the event underscored the importance of a regular surveillance system on companion animals [2]. The 2 recent events related to companion cats have implied possible gaps in disease surveillance systems and epidemiological investigation for these animals, including delayed detection and inadequate responses.

Systems for domestic animals and humans have been improved [3–6] and have effectively supported general health systems for domestic animals and humans. Those systems could be benchmarked to develop or improve the systems in the companion animal medical field. In this regard, a comparative review was conducted to identify current gaps and suggest future directions.

**Brief review of systems of disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation for industrial animals and humans**

The systems for disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation for industrial animals and humans are relatively advanced and have successfully supported effective disease control by the authorities. The Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency (APQA) is responsible for managing surveillance and epidemiological investigation systems for infectious diseases in livestock [3,5,7,8]. The passive surveillance is usually based on disease reports from livestock owners and veterinarians. The information collected consists of livestock farm locations, animal species, and the number of cases and deaths. On the other hand, active surveillance includes a preplanned, systematic investigation by authorities. Local governmental workers can visit livestock farms to collect samples and test if there are infected animals. Target diseases include disastrous diseases, such as HPAI and foot-and-mouth disease, and endemic diseases, such as brucellosis and bovine tuberculosis. Regarding epidemiological investigations, farm-level data, such as the number of infected animals, date of disease onset, number of deaths, structure of the farms, and the visit history of related workers, are collected. The data from disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation have been stored in a data repository (Korea Animal Health Integrated System, https://www.kahis.go.kr/) [3]. The collected data can be analyzed to identify potential causes and assess risks of following infections.

The management of human infectious disease surveillance and epidemiological investigations in South Korea has been under the jurisdiction of the Korea Disease Control Agency (KDCA). Specific guidelines have been established for the monitoring and investigation procedures for each notifiable disease [4,6,9,10]. For example, diseases in class 1 must be reported to KDCA immediately because of their high lethality and the potential for severe societal consequences. Class 2 and 3 diseases must be notified within 24 hours. Individual-level data, such as age, sex, occupation, and date of symptom onset, have been reported via an online reporting system. An unusual increase in disease incidence or clustered distribution can be detected by analyzing the regular report. The collected data is also publicly accessible through the infectious disease web portal (https://dportal.kdca.go.kr/pot/index.do) after data aggregation. Additional details, such as the history of contact with the potential source of infection, can be acquired from the epidemiological investigation. The questionnaire forms for these investigations are structured for each target disease and are updated regularly. The surveillance of chronic diseases among humans is also possible through the national insurance system. The National Health Insurance Service can reimburse patients’ medical expenses to individual hospitals and clinics and collect comprehensive health-related information, such as disease occurrence and medical examinations. The data can be used to monitor the descriptive epidemiology of various diseases [11,12].

In contrast, the surveillance and investigation systems for companion animals are significantly underdeveloped in South Korea (Table 1). Although the APQA has provided laboratory diagnostics services for companion animals, the collected data is not representative. Theoretically, the electronic medical records of companion animals from local veterinary clinics can be used for monitoring health-related events [13]. In South Korea, however, the data are usually fragmented and managed by individual clinics without a standardized encoding system. The lack of a systematic approach causes difficulties in suggesting representative statistics and detecting unusual health events in animal populations. The existing veterinary prescription management system, “eVet,” [14] which was developed for controlling medication abuse, has potential use in surveillance and monitoring, but most small animal practitioners hesitate to use it because of the administrative burden.

**Current challenges in establishing systems of disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation for companion animals**

Developing a disease surveillance system for companion ani-
mals poses several challenges for South Korea. First, no authority exists to lead, establish, or manage these systems. Currently, the APQA is the first candidate because it already has a surveillance system for domestic animals and includes the Department of Animal Welfare, which takes responsibility for the welfare issues of companion animals. In the United States and European Union, agencies equivalent to the APQA, such as the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, also handle the companion animal sector. Nevertheless, philosophical and technical differences can exist because the APQA focuses primarily on industrial animals rather than companion animals. The industrial animal sector generally aims to improve animal population productivity, whereas the companion animal sector focuses on individual-level health. In this regard, APQA requires a novel approach to take the leadership in the companion animal field.

Second, there is no legal basis to develop these systems. In humans and industrial animals, national notifiable infectious diseases are legally defined. The legal classification specifies target diseases for surveillance systems with specific regulations, such as reporting frequency, time intervals, and responsible authorities for each disease [15,16]. However, there are no equivalent notifiable diseases in companion animals. Consequently, related guidelines and regulations have not been developed. Similarly, there is no legal justification for conducting epidemiological investigations regarding companion animal disease. The limitation suggests a risk of litigation from the individuals or institutions suspected of being the source of the infection by the investigation. The epidemiological investigation during the recent avian influenza outbreak in companion cats was possible because highly pathogenic avian influenza is defined as a notifiable livestock infectious disease. On the other hand, most infectious diseases that occur frequently in companion animals have not been investigated. Even less legal support exists for epidemiological investigations of noncommunicable or idiopathic diseases despite the established systems for humans [17,18].

Third, the lack of a reliable medical data collection system is another critical challenge. Veterinary practitioners in tertiary institutions tend to strictly record the medical history of each animal patient to pursue effective communication among veterinarians or provide education to junior professionals. In contrast, veterinarians in local primary clinics often hesitate to record appropriately because of insufficient motivation. Consequently, relevant data, such as disease diagnoses and prescriptions at the primary clinics, are not usually usable. Data from primary veterinary clinics are much more important than those from secondary and tertiary institutions because acquiring representative big data for developing unbiased surveillance systems is important. In some countries, initiatives, such as the Small Animal Veterinary Surveillance Network (SAVSNET) and VetCompass, have been introduced and used as surveillance systems by analyzing aggregated data from multiple local clinics [19–21]. Systematic big data has provided valuable information, such as representative disease prevalence, incidence, and spatio-temporal trends. Nevertheless, introducing the systems to South Korea can be challenging because of the lack of standardized terminology and data recording practices across clinics [22].

### Recommendations for future directions

This paper suggested four recommendations for developing systems in the companion animal field. First, the authorities responsible for the operation and governance of the system should be clearly designated. One of the best solutions would be establishing an independent system, considering that companion animal medicine is philosophically and technically different from human or industrial animal medicine. This would be challenging practically because the national or public interest in companion animal welfare and health is insufficient, and there is a lack of precedent examples globally. In this regard, it is practical for the APQA to manage the responsibility. Specifically, it is essential to develop a specific department within the APQA to manage these responsibilities effectively. Even in this case, however, the lack of workforce in the APQA can be problematic.

---

**Table 1. Comparison of disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation systems for companion animals with those for industrial animals and humans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Humans</th>
<th>Industrial animals</th>
<th>Companion animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorities</td>
<td>Korea Disease Control Agency</td>
<td>APQA</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notifiable infectious diseases</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active surveillance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive surveillance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory surveillance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (APQA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiological surveillance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APQA, Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency.
because there has been a noticeable decrease in the number of veterinarians entering the agency. Public–private partnerships can address the workforce issue. For example, in human health care, epidemiological investigations of environmental health issues and diseases of unknown origin are often outsourced to private entities [17]. As mentioned earlier, SAVSNET and VetCompass [19–21], managed mainly by universities, can be used as surveillance systems.

Second, the current Act on the Prevention of Contagious Animal Diseases should be revised to establish a legal basis for disease surveillance and epidemiological investigations specifically for companion animals. Specifically, companion animals should be explicitly included in the Act as subjects for disease surveillance and epidemiological investigations. Several prevalent infectious diseases, such as distemper, influenza, coronavirus, rotavirus, and parvovirus infections, would be designated as notifiable diseases. There can be arguments that national benefits are much less for controlling companion animal diseases than human and livestock diseases, but companion animals can serve as sentinels for diseases affecting humans and livestock [23]. In addition, several zoonotic diseases can be transmitted from companion animals to humans, which can cause public concerns from a health perspective [24]. In addition, the amendment should allow for epidemiological investigations targeting diseases of unknown origin in companion animals. Currently, conducting epidemiological investigations for non-infectious diseases is challenging, even in livestock. Therefore, the revision could be beneficial in responding to unknown diseases of both companion and industrial animals.

Third, a systematic medical data collection framework should be developed. Specifically, a standardized medical coding system, such as the Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms [25], should be produced to merge data from multiple institutions. Although it would be best if veterinarians become familiar with and agree to use the system, motivating them would be challenging because of their heavy labor burden. Therefore, a feasible solution would be developing a mapping system that automatically converts current non-standardized data to a standardized coding system. In addition, operating a universal surveillance system involving all veterinary clinics is unsustainable. Instead, a sentinel surveillance system could be beneficial in responding to unknown diseases of both companion and industrial animals.

Finally, there is a need to enhance the educational programs related to the epidemiology of companion animals for veterinarians and professionals in related fields. At present, such programs are inadequate in number, and the few programs available barely concentrate on companion animals. Specialized programs targeting companion animal epidemiology are essential because the underlying principles and methodologies of epidemiology differ between livestock and companion animals. These programs could improve attitudes and awareness regarding the importance of epidemiology among veterinarians, veterinary students, and related professionals and improve the quality of surveillance and epidemiological investigation systems in companion animals.
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